

<b>FEE TRANSMITTAL</b> CUSTOMER NO.: 020284 TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) <b>810</b>		<b>Complete if Known</b>	
		Application Number	Unassigned
		Filing Date	Feb. 20, 1997
		First Named Inventor	Mark Alan Einkauf
		Group Art Unit	Unassigned
		Examiner Name	Unassigned
		Attorney Docket Number	0526-vdsk

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check one)		FEE CALCULATION (continued)																																																																																																																																																																										
<p>1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge indicated fees and credit any over payments to:</p> <p>Deposit Account Number: <b>03-2028</b></p> <p>Deposit Account Name: <b>CIRRUS LOGIC</b></p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Charge Any Additional Fee Required Under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17      <input type="checkbox"/> Charge the Issue Fee Set in 37 CFR 1.18 at the Mailing of the Notice of Allowance, 37 CFR 1.311(b)</p> <p>2. <input type="checkbox"/> Payment Enclosed: <input type="checkbox"/> Check      <input type="checkbox"/> Money Order      <input type="checkbox"/> Other</p>		<p>3. ADDITIONAL FEES</p> <table border="1"><thead><tr><th>Large Entity Fee Code (\$)</th><th>Small Entity Fee Code (\$)</th><th>Fee Description</th><th>Fee Paid</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>105</td><td>130</td><td>205</td><td>65</td><td>Surcharge - late filing fee or oath</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>127</td><td>50</td><td>227</td><td>25</td><td>Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>139</td><td>130</td><td>139</td><td>130</td><td>Non-English specification</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>147</td><td>2,460</td><td>147</td><td>2,460</td><td>For filing a request for reexamination</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>112</td><td>900</td><td>112</td><td>900</td><td>Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>113</td><td>1,790</td><td>113</td><td>1,790</td><td>Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>115</td><td>110</td><td>215</td><td>55</td><td>Extension for response within first month</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>116</td><td>390</td><td>215</td><td>195</td><td>Extension for response within second month</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>117</td><td>930</td><td>217</td><td>465</td><td>Extension for response within third month</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>118</td><td>1,470</td><td>218</td><td>735</td><td>Extension for response within fourth month</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>119</td><td>300</td><td>219</td><td>150</td><td>Notice of Appeal</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>120</td><td>300</td><td>220</td><td>150</td><td>Filing a brief in support of an appeal</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>121</td><td>260</td><td>221</td><td>130</td><td>Request for oral hearing</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>138</td><td>1,470</td><td>138</td><td>1,470</td><td>Petition to institute a public use proceeding</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>140</td><td>110</td><td>240</td><td>55</td><td>Petition to revive unavoidably abandoned application</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>141</td><td>1,290</td><td>241</td><td>645</td><td>Petition to revive unintentionally abandoned application</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>142</td><td>1,290</td><td>242</td><td>645</td><td>Utility issue fee (or reissue)</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>143</td><td>440</td><td>243</td><td>220</td><td>Design issue fee</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>144</td><td>650</td><td>244</td><td>325</td><td>Plant issue fee</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>122</td><td>130</td><td>122</td><td>130</td><td>Petitions to the Commissioner</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>123</td><td>50</td><td>123</td><td>50</td><td>Petitions related to provisional applications</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>126</td><td>230</td><td>126</td><td>230</td><td>Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>581</td><td>40</td><td>581</td><td>40</td><td>Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)</td><td>40</td></tr><tr><td>146</td><td>770</td><td>246</td><td>385</td><td>Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(a))</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>149</td><td>770</td><td>249</td><td>385</td><td>For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))</td><td></td></tr><tr><td colspan="4">Other fee (specify) _____</td><td></td></tr><tr><td colspan="4">Other fee (specify) _____</td><td></td></tr><tr><td colspan="4"><b>SUBTOTAL (3) (\$)</b></td><td><b>40</b></td></tr></tbody></table>		Large Entity Fee Code (\$)	Small Entity Fee Code (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid	105	130	205	65	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath		127	50	227	25	Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet		139	130	139	130	Non-English specification		147	2,460	147	2,460	For filing a request for reexamination		112	900	112	900	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action		113	1,790	113	1,790	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action		115	110	215	55	Extension for response within first month		116	390	215	195	Extension for response within second month		117	930	217	465	Extension for response within third month		118	1,470	218	735	Extension for response within fourth month		119	300	219	150	Notice of Appeal		120	300	220	150	Filing a brief in support of an appeal		121	260	221	130	Request for oral hearing		138	1,470	138	1,470	Petition to institute a public use proceeding		140	110	240	55	Petition to revive unavoidably abandoned application		141	1,290	241	645	Petition to revive unintentionally abandoned application		142	1,290	242	645	Utility issue fee (or reissue)		143	440	243	220	Design issue fee		144	650	244	325	Plant issue fee		122	130	122	130	Petitions to the Commissioner		123	50	123	50	Petitions related to provisional applications		126	230	126	230	Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt		581	40	581	40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)	40	146	770	246	385	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(a))		149	770	249	385	For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))		Other fee (specify) _____					Other fee (specify) _____					<b>SUBTOTAL (3) (\$)</b>				<b>40</b>
Large Entity Fee Code (\$)	Small Entity Fee Code (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid																																																																																																																																																																									
105	130	205	65	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath																																																																																																																																																																								
127	50	227	25	Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet																																																																																																																																																																								
139	130	139	130	Non-English specification																																																																																																																																																																								
147	2,460	147	2,460	For filing a request for reexamination																																																																																																																																																																								
112	900	112	900	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action																																																																																																																																																																								
113	1,790	113	1,790	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action																																																																																																																																																																								
115	110	215	55	Extension for response within first month																																																																																																																																																																								
116	390	215	195	Extension for response within second month																																																																																																																																																																								
117	930	217	465	Extension for response within third month																																																																																																																																																																								
118	1,470	218	735	Extension for response within fourth month																																																																																																																																																																								
119	300	219	150	Notice of Appeal																																																																																																																																																																								
120	300	220	150	Filing a brief in support of an appeal																																																																																																																																																																								
121	260	221	130	Request for oral hearing																																																																																																																																																																								
138	1,470	138	1,470	Petition to institute a public use proceeding																																																																																																																																																																								
140	110	240	55	Petition to revive unavoidably abandoned application																																																																																																																																																																								
141	1,290	241	645	Petition to revive unintentionally abandoned application																																																																																																																																																																								
142	1,290	242	645	Utility issue fee (or reissue)																																																																																																																																																																								
143	440	243	220	Design issue fee																																																																																																																																																																								
144	650	244	325	Plant issue fee																																																																																																																																																																								
122	130	122	130	Petitions to the Commissioner																																																																																																																																																																								
123	50	123	50	Petitions related to provisional applications																																																																																																																																																																								
126	230	126	230	Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt																																																																																																																																																																								
581	40	581	40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)	40																																																																																																																																																																							
146	770	246	385	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(a))																																																																																																																																																																								
149	770	249	385	For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))																																																																																																																																																																								
Other fee (specify) _____																																																																																																																																																																												
Other fee (specify) _____																																																																																																																																																																												
<b>SUBTOTAL (3) (\$)</b>				<b>40</b>																																																																																																																																																																								
<p>1. FILING FEE</p> <table border="1"><thead><tr><th>Large Entity Fee Code (\$)</th><th>Small Entity Fee Code (\$)</th><th>Fee Description</th><th>Fee Paid</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>101</td><td>770</td><td>201</td><td>385</td><td>Utility filing fee</td><td>770</td></tr><tr><td>106</td><td>320</td><td>206</td><td>160</td><td>Design filing fee</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>107</td><td>530</td><td>207</td><td>265</td><td>Plant filing fee</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>108</td><td>770</td><td>208</td><td>385</td><td>Reissue filing fee</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>114</td><td>150</td><td>214</td><td>75</td><td>Provisional filing fee</td><td></td></tr><tr><td colspan="4"><b>SUBTOTAL (1) (\$)</b></td><td><b>770</b></td></tr></tbody></table>		Large Entity Fee Code (\$)	Small Entity Fee Code (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid	101	770	201	385	Utility filing fee	770	106	320	206	160	Design filing fee		107	530	207	265	Plant filing fee		108	770	208	385	Reissue filing fee		114	150	214	75	Provisional filing fee		<b>SUBTOTAL (1) (\$)</b>				<b>770</b>	<p>2. CLAIMS</p> <table border="1"><thead><tr><th>Total Claims</th><th>Extra</th><th>Fee from below</th><th>Fee Paid</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>20</td><td>-20 = 0</td><td>X</td><td></td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td>-3 = 0</td><td>X</td><td></td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td>X</td><td></td></tr></tbody></table> <p>Large Entity    Small Entity</p> <table border="1"><thead><tr><th>Fee Code (\$)</th><th>Fee Code (\$)</th><th>Fee Description</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>103</td><td>22</td><td>203</td><td>11</td><td>Claims in excess of 20</td></tr><tr><td>102</td><td>80</td><td>202</td><td>40</td><td>Independent claims in excess of 3</td></tr><tr><td>104</td><td>260</td><td>204</td><td>130</td><td>Multiple dependent claim</td></tr><tr><td>109</td><td>80</td><td>209</td><td>40</td><td>Reissue independent claims over original patent</td></tr><tr><td>110</td><td>22</td><td>210</td><td>11</td><td>Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent</td></tr><tr><td colspan="4"><b>SUBTOTAL (2) (\$)</b></td><td><b>0</b></td></tr></tbody></table>		Total Claims	Extra	Fee from below	Fee Paid	20	-20 = 0	X		3	-3 = 0	X				X		Fee Code (\$)	Fee Code (\$)	Fee Description	103	22	203	11	Claims in excess of 20	102	80	202	40	Independent claims in excess of 3	104	260	204	130	Multiple dependent claim	109	80	209	40	Reissue independent claims over original patent	110	22	210	11	Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent	<b>SUBTOTAL (2) (\$)</b>				<b>0</b>																																																																																	
Large Entity Fee Code (\$)	Small Entity Fee Code (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid																																																																																																																																																																									
101	770	201	385	Utility filing fee	770																																																																																																																																																																							
106	320	206	160	Design filing fee																																																																																																																																																																								
107	530	207	265	Plant filing fee																																																																																																																																																																								
108	770	208	385	Reissue filing fee																																																																																																																																																																								
114	150	214	75	Provisional filing fee																																																																																																																																																																								
<b>SUBTOTAL (1) (\$)</b>				<b>770</b>																																																																																																																																																																								
Total Claims	Extra	Fee from below	Fee Paid																																																																																																																																																																									
20	-20 = 0	X																																																																																																																																																																										
3	-3 = 0	X																																																																																																																																																																										
		X																																																																																																																																																																										
Fee Code (\$)	Fee Code (\$)	Fee Description																																																																																																																																																																										
103	22	203	11	Claims in excess of 20																																																																																																																																																																								
102	80	202	40	Independent claims in excess of 3																																																																																																																																																																								
104	260	204	130	Multiple dependent claim																																																																																																																																																																								
109	80	209	40	Reissue independent claims over original patent																																																																																																																																																																								
110	22	210	11	Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent																																																																																																																																																																								
<b>SUBTOTAL (2) (\$)</b>				<b>0</b>																																																																																																																																																																								

SUBMITTED BY				Complete (if applicable)	
Typed or Printed Name	Steven A. Shal			Reg. Number	39,368
Signature				Deposit Account User ID	
	Date	2/20/97			

<b>FEE TRANSMITTAL</b> CUSTOMER NO.: 020284		<b>Complete if Known</b>	
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) <b>810</b>		Application Number	Unassigned
		Filing Date	Feb. 20, 1997
		First Named Inventor	Mark Alan Einkauf
		Group Art Unit	Unassigned
		Examiner Name	Unassigned
		Attorney Docket Number	0526-vdsk

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check one)	FEE CALCULATION (continued)																																																																																																																				
<p>1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge indicated fees and credit any over payments to:</p> <p>Deposit Account Number: <b>03-2028</b></p> <p>Deposit Account Name: <b>CIRRUS LOGIC</b></p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Charge Any Additional Fee Required Under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17    <input type="checkbox"/> Charge the Issue Fee Set in 37 CFR 1.18 at the Mailing of the Notice of Allowance, 37 CFR 1.311(b)</p> <p>2. <input type="checkbox"/> Payment Enclosed:  <input type="checkbox"/> Check    <input type="checkbox"/> Money Order    <input type="checkbox"/> Other</p>	<p>3. ADDITIONAL FEES</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Large Entity Fee Code</th> <th>Small Entity Fee Code</th> <th>Fee Description</th> <th>Fee Paid</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>105</td><td>130</td><td>205 65 Surcharge - late filing fee or oath</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>127</td><td>50</td><td>227 25 Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>139</td><td>130</td><td>139 130 Non-English specification</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>147</td><td>2,460</td><td>147 2,460 For filing a request for reexamination</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>112</td><td>900</td><td>112 900 Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>113</td><td>1,790</td><td>113 1,790 Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>115</td><td>110</td><td>215 55 Extension for response within first month</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>116</td><td>390</td><td>216 195 Extension for response within second month</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>117</td><td>930</td><td>217 465 Extension for response within third month</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>118</td><td>1,470</td><td>218 735 Extension for response within fourth month</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>119</td><td>300</td><td>219 150 Notice of Appeal</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>120</td><td>300</td><td>220 150 Filing a brief in support of an appeal</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>121</td><td>260</td><td>221 130 Request for oral hearing</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>138</td><td>1,470</td><td>138 1,470 Petition to institute a public use proceeding</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>140</td><td>110</td><td>240 55 Petition to revive unavoidably abandoned application</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>141</td><td>1,290</td><td>241 645 Petition to revive unintentionally abandoned application</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>142</td><td>1,290</td><td>242 645 Utility issue fee (or reissue)</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>143</td><td>440</td><td>243 220 Design issue fee</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>144</td><td>650</td><td>244 325 Plant issue fee</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>122</td><td>130</td><td>122 130 Petitions to the Commissioner</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>123</td><td>50</td><td>123 50 Petitions related to provisional applications</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>126</td><td>230</td><td>126 230 Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>581</td><td>40</td><td>581 40 Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)</td><td>40</td></tr> <tr><td>146</td><td>770</td><td>246 385 Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(a))</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>149</td><td>770</td><td>249 385 For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td colspan="3">Other fee (specify) _____</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td colspan="3">Other fee (specify) _____</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: right;">SUBTOTAL (3) (\$)</td> <td colspan="2"><b>40</b></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Large Entity Fee Code	Small Entity Fee Code	Fee Description	Fee Paid	105	130	205 65 Surcharge - late filing fee or oath		127	50	227 25 Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet		139	130	139 130 Non-English specification		147	2,460	147 2,460 For filing a request for reexamination		112	900	112 900 Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action		113	1,790	113 1,790 Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action		115	110	215 55 Extension for response within first month		116	390	216 195 Extension for response within second month		117	930	217 465 Extension for response within third month		118	1,470	218 735 Extension for response within fourth month		119	300	219 150 Notice of Appeal		120	300	220 150 Filing a brief in support of an appeal		121	260	221 130 Request for oral hearing		138	1,470	138 1,470 Petition to institute a public use proceeding		140	110	240 55 Petition to revive unavoidably abandoned application		141	1,290	241 645 Petition to revive unintentionally abandoned application		142	1,290	242 645 Utility issue fee (or reissue)		143	440	243 220 Design issue fee		144	650	244 325 Plant issue fee		122	130	122 130 Petitions to the Commissioner		123	50	123 50 Petitions related to provisional applications		126	230	126 230 Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt		581	40	581 40 Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)	40	146	770	246 385 Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(a))		149	770	249 385 For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))		Other fee (specify) _____				Other fee (specify) _____				SUBTOTAL (3) (\$)		<b>40</b>	
Large Entity Fee Code	Small Entity Fee Code	Fee Description	Fee Paid																																																																																																																		
105	130	205 65 Surcharge - late filing fee or oath																																																																																																																			
127	50	227 25 Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet																																																																																																																			
139	130	139 130 Non-English specification																																																																																																																			
147	2,460	147 2,460 For filing a request for reexamination																																																																																																																			
112	900	112 900 Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action																																																																																																																			
113	1,790	113 1,790 Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action																																																																																																																			
115	110	215 55 Extension for response within first month																																																																																																																			
116	390	216 195 Extension for response within second month																																																																																																																			
117	930	217 465 Extension for response within third month																																																																																																																			
118	1,470	218 735 Extension for response within fourth month																																																																																																																			
119	300	219 150 Notice of Appeal																																																																																																																			
120	300	220 150 Filing a brief in support of an appeal																																																																																																																			
121	260	221 130 Request for oral hearing																																																																																																																			
138	1,470	138 1,470 Petition to institute a public use proceeding																																																																																																																			
140	110	240 55 Petition to revive unavoidably abandoned application																																																																																																																			
141	1,290	241 645 Petition to revive unintentionally abandoned application																																																																																																																			
142	1,290	242 645 Utility issue fee (or reissue)																																																																																																																			
143	440	243 220 Design issue fee																																																																																																																			
144	650	244 325 Plant issue fee																																																																																																																			
122	130	122 130 Petitions to the Commissioner																																																																																																																			
123	50	123 50 Petitions related to provisional applications																																																																																																																			
126	230	126 230 Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt																																																																																																																			
581	40	581 40 Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)	40																																																																																																																		
146	770	246 385 Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(a))																																																																																																																			
149	770	249 385 For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))																																																																																																																			
Other fee (specify) _____																																																																																																																					
Other fee (specify) _____																																																																																																																					
SUBTOTAL (3) (\$)		<b>40</b>																																																																																																																			
<p><b>FEE CALCULATION (fees effective 10/01/96)</b></p> <p>1. FILING FEE</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Large Entity Fee Code</th> <th>Small Entity Fee Code</th> <th>Fee Description</th> <th>Fee Paid</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>101</td><td>770</td><td>201 385 Utility filing fee</td><td>770</td></tr> <tr><td>106</td><td>320</td><td>206 160 Design filing fee</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>107</td><td>530</td><td>207 265 Plant filing fee</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>108</td><td>770</td><td>208 385 Reissue filing fee</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>114</td><td>150</td><td>214 75 Provisional filing fee</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td colspan="3" style="text-align: right;">SUBTOTAL (1) (\$)</td> <td><b>770</b></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>2. CLAIMS</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Total Claims</th> <th>Extra</th> <th>Fee from below</th> <th>Fee Paid</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>20</td> <td>-20 = 0</td> <td>X</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Independent Claims</td> <td>3 - 3 = 0</td> <td>X</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Multiple Dependent Claims</td> <td></td> <td>X</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Large Entity Small Entity</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Fee Code (\$)</th> <th>Fee Code (\$)</th> <th>Fee Description</th> <th>Fee Paid</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>103</td><td>22</td><td>203 11 Claims in excess of 20</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>102</td><td>80</td><td>202 40 Independent claims in excess of 3</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>104</td><td>260</td><td>204 130 Multiple dependent claim</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>109</td><td>80</td><td>209 40 Reissue independent claims over original patent</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>110</td><td>22</td><td>210 11 Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td colspan="3" style="text-align: right;">SUBTOTAL (2) (\$)</td> <td><b>0</b></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Large Entity Fee Code	Small Entity Fee Code	Fee Description	Fee Paid	101	770	201 385 Utility filing fee	770	106	320	206 160 Design filing fee		107	530	207 265 Plant filing fee		108	770	208 385 Reissue filing fee		114	150	214 75 Provisional filing fee		SUBTOTAL (1) (\$)			<b>770</b>	Total Claims	Extra	Fee from below	Fee Paid	20	-20 = 0	X		Independent Claims	3 - 3 = 0	X		Multiple Dependent Claims		X		Fee Code (\$)	Fee Code (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid	103	22	203 11 Claims in excess of 20		102	80	202 40 Independent claims in excess of 3		104	260	204 130 Multiple dependent claim		109	80	209 40 Reissue independent claims over original patent		110	22	210 11 Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent		SUBTOTAL (2) (\$)			<b>0</b>	<p>2. CLAIMS</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Total Claims</th> <th>Extra</th> <th>Fee from below</th> <th>Fee Paid</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>20</td> <td>-20 = 0</td> <td>X</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Independent Claims</td> <td>3 - 3 = 0</td> <td>X</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Multiple Dependent Claims</td> <td></td> <td>X</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Large Entity Small Entity</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Fee Code (\$)</th> <th>Fee Code (\$)</th> <th>Fee Description</th> <th>Fee Paid</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>103</td><td>22</td><td>203 11 Claims in excess of 20</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>102</td><td>80</td><td>202 40 Independent claims in excess of 3</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>104</td><td>260</td><td>204 130 Multiple dependent claim</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>109</td><td>80</td><td>209 40 Reissue independent claims over original patent</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>110</td><td>22</td><td>210 11 Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent</td><td></td></tr> <tr> <td colspan="3" style="text-align: right;">SUBTOTAL (2) (\$)</td> <td><b>0</b></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Total Claims	Extra	Fee from below	Fee Paid	20	-20 = 0	X		Independent Claims	3 - 3 = 0	X		Multiple Dependent Claims		X		Fee Code (\$)	Fee Code (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid	103	22	203 11 Claims in excess of 20		102	80	202 40 Independent claims in excess of 3		104	260	204 130 Multiple dependent claim		109	80	209 40 Reissue independent claims over original patent		110	22	210 11 Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent		SUBTOTAL (2) (\$)			<b>0</b>
Large Entity Fee Code	Small Entity Fee Code	Fee Description	Fee Paid																																																																																																																		
101	770	201 385 Utility filing fee	770																																																																																																																		
106	320	206 160 Design filing fee																																																																																																																			
107	530	207 265 Plant filing fee																																																																																																																			
108	770	208 385 Reissue filing fee																																																																																																																			
114	150	214 75 Provisional filing fee																																																																																																																			
SUBTOTAL (1) (\$)			<b>770</b>																																																																																																																		
Total Claims	Extra	Fee from below	Fee Paid																																																																																																																		
20	-20 = 0	X																																																																																																																			
Independent Claims	3 - 3 = 0	X																																																																																																																			
Multiple Dependent Claims		X																																																																																																																			
Fee Code (\$)	Fee Code (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid																																																																																																																		
103	22	203 11 Claims in excess of 20																																																																																																																			
102	80	202 40 Independent claims in excess of 3																																																																																																																			
104	260	204 130 Multiple dependent claim																																																																																																																			
109	80	209 40 Reissue independent claims over original patent																																																																																																																			
110	22	210 11 Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent																																																																																																																			
SUBTOTAL (2) (\$)			<b>0</b>																																																																																																																		
Total Claims	Extra	Fee from below	Fee Paid																																																																																																																		
20	-20 = 0	X																																																																																																																			
Independent Claims	3 - 3 = 0	X																																																																																																																			
Multiple Dependent Claims		X																																																																																																																			
Fee Code (\$)	Fee Code (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid																																																																																																																		
103	22	203 11 Claims in excess of 20																																																																																																																			
102	80	202 40 Independent claims in excess of 3																																																																																																																			
104	260	204 130 Multiple dependent claim																																																																																																																			
109	80	209 40 Reissue independent claims over original patent																																																																																																																			
110	22	210 11 Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent																																																																																																																			
SUBTOTAL (2) (\$)			<b>0</b>																																																																																																																		

SUBMITTED BY		Complete (if applicable)	
Typed or Printed Name	Steven A. Shac	Reg. Number	39,365
Signature	<i>Steven A. Shac</i>	Deposit Account User ID	
Date	2/20/97		



59227 U.S. PTO

08803460



02/20/97

Attorney Docket No.: 0526-VDSK  
Cirrus File Reference: 0526-VDSK

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**Patent Application

In re Application	<i>Customer No.: 020284</i>
Inventor(s): Mark Alan Einkauf	
Application No.:	
Filed:	
Title: METHOD FOR TRIANGLE SUBDIVISION IN COMPUTER GRAPHICS TEXTURE MAPPING TO ELIMINATE ARTIFACTS IN HIGH PERSPECTIVE POLYGONS	

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY "U.S. EXPRESS MAIL" UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.10(c)	
"EXPRESS MAIL" MAILING LABEL NUMBER	
DATE OF DEPOSIT	<i>Feb 20, 1997</i>
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PAPER OR FEE IS BEING DEPOSITED WITH THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE "EXPRESS MAIL POST OFFICE TO ADDRESSEE" SERVICE UNDER 37 CFR 1.10 ON THE DATE INDICATED ABOVE AND IS ADDRESSED TO THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, WASHINGTON, DC 20231	
TYPED NAME	<i>Steven A. Skow</i>
SIGNED	<i>[Signature]</i>
Signature Date:	<i>2/20/97</i>

**APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL LETTER**

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
Attn.: **BOX PATENT APPLICATION**

Sir:

Transmitted herewith for filing is the patent application identified as follows:

Inventor(s): Mark Alan Einkauf

Title: METHOD FOR TRIANGLE SUBDIVISION IN COMPUTER GRAPHICS TEXTURE MAPPING TO ELIMINATE ARTIFACTS IN HIGH PERSPECTIVE POLYGONS

No. of Pages in Specification: 33; No. of Claims: 20.No. of Sheets of Drawings: 12; Formal:    , Informal: X.

Also enclosed are:

- ☒ A Declaration and Power of Attorney.
- ☒ An Assignment. Recording of the Assignment is hereby requested.
- ☐ An Information Disclosure Statement under C.F.R. § 1.56.
- ☐ A Form 1449

## FEES DUE

The fees due for filing the specification pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 and for recording of the Assignment, if any, are determined as follows:

CLAIMS					
	NO. OF CLAIMS		EXTRA CLAIMS	RATE	FEES
Basic Application Fee					\$770.00
Total Claims	20	Minus 20=	0	X \$22 =	0
Independent Claims	3	Minus 3=	0	X \$80 =	0
If multiple dependent claims are presented, add \$250.00					0
Add Assignment Recording Fee of \$40.00 If Assignment document is enclosed					\$40.00
<b>TOTAL APPLICATION FEE DUE</b>					<b>\$810.00</b>

## PAYMENT OF FEES

1. The full fee due in connection with this communication is \$810.00 and is provided as follows:

☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No.: 03-2028. A duplicate copy of this authorization is enclosed. *Form PTO/SB/17 enclosed*

☐ A check in the amount of \$\_\_\_\_\_

This application is filed pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.53 in the name of the above-identified Inventor(s).

Please direct all correspondence concerning the above-identified application to the following address: *(Customer Number is 020284)*


Mr. Steven Shaw  
CIRRUS LOGIC, INC.  
3100 West Warren Avenue, M/S 521  
Fremont, California 94538  
(510) 623-0733

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 2/20/97

By: 

Steven A. Shaw  
Reg. No. 39,368



Attorney Reference: CRUS-0526-VDSK

Cirrus File Reference: 0526-VDSK

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY "U.S. EXPRESS MAIL" UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.10(c)

"EXPRESS MAIL" MAILING LABEL NUMBER: **EM563967540US**

DATE OF DEPOSIT Feb 20, 1997

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PAPER OR FEE IS BEING DEPOSITED WITH THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE "EXPRESS MAIL POST OFFICE TO ADDRESSEE" SERVICE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.10 ON THE DATE INDICATED ABOVE AND IS ADDRESSED TO: ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, WASHINGTON, DC 20231.

TYPED NAME Steven A. Shaw

SIGNED [Signature]

METHOD FOR TRIANGLE SUBDIVISION

IN COMPUTER GRAPHICS TEXTURE MAPPING

TO ELIMINATE ARTIFACTS IN HIGH PERSPECTIVE POLYGONS

Mark Alan Einkauf  
2704 N. Walker  
Leander, Texas 78641

Citizenship: USA

03003460.022097

24

A



METHOD FOR TRIANGLE SUBDIVISION  
IN COMPUTER GRAPHICS TEXTURE MAPPING  
TO ELIMINATE ARTIFACTS IN HIGH PERSPECTIVE POLYGONS

5

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to the field of graphics imaging and the display of images on a screen. More particularly, the present invention relates to a method for presenting computer generated objects on a two-dimensional graphics display with perspective texture mapping in a computer controlled graphics display system.

10

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

It is becoming more common for workstations and general purpose computer systems to be used for visual simulations. Such simulations are particularly useful in high end systems for industrial modeling applications and in lower end systems for entertainment (e.g., simulations, computer games, multi-media applications, etc.). Computer controlled graphics systems display graphics objects on a 2 dimensional (2-D) display; the graphics objects being composed of graphics primitive elements ("graphics primitives") that may include, for instance, points, lines, polygons, etc. represented using three dimensional (3-D) data structures (e.g., x, y, z). As is well known, the object displayed is represented internally by the graphics system with three dimensional (3-D) data structures (e.g., x, y, z) which are transformed into 2-D elements (e.g., x, y) which are then used to render a 2-D image of the 3-D object.

15

20

25

Texture mapping refers to techniques for adding surface detail to areas or surfaces of these 3-D graphics objects displayed on the 2-D display. Since the original graphics object is 3-D, texture mapping often involves maintaining certain perspective attributes with respect to the surface detail

003034450, 022097

added to the object. Generally, texture mapping occurs by accessing encoded surface detail points or "texels" from a memory which stores the surface detail and then transferring the surface detail texels to predetermined points of the graphics primitive that is texture mapped. More specifically,

5 texture mapping operates by applying color or visual attributes of texels of the (u, v) texture map to corresponding pixels of the graphics object on a display screen. In texture mapping, color values for pixels in (x, y) display coordinate space are determined based on sampled texture map values from (u, v) coordinates. After texture mapping, a version of the texture image is visible

10 on surfaces of the object. The manner in which the texels are accessed and used to provide the perspective is a complex process that can utilize interpolation which increases processing speed but provides only an "estimation" of the true perspective condition.

There are three types of texture mapping including linear, second

15 order homogeneous perspective and second order non-homogeneous perspective. In linear texture mapping, texels of a texture map are generally mapped onto pixels of a 2-D graphics primitive linearly whereby the rate of sampling in texel space (u, v) with respect to the screen coordinate (x, y) update rate is constant, e.g.,  $du/dx$  and  $du/dy$  are constant values. In

20 perspective texture mapping, texels of a texture map are generally mapped onto pixels of a 3-D graphics object that is displayed in 2-D space (x, y) wherein the rate of sampling in texel space with respect to the rate of screen coordinate update rate is not constant. Perspective texture mapping, as discussed above, therefore features an illusion of depth which is created by

25 varying the sampling rate of the texture map during the normal linearly performed polygon rendering process on the display screen.

In one system, a graphics subsystem (accelerator) performs the necessary processing to generate the simulation in real-time using interpolation driven processes to perform texture mapping. Typically, the

graphics subsystem includes local memory for storing graphics data in a "display list" and a graphics engine that determines the texture (e.g., texture mapping) to be applied to picture elements (pixels) to form the simulation on the computer systems graphics display. Using techniques that are well

- 5 understood by those skilled in the art, display images are first decomposed into graphics primitives comprising multiple polygons each of which may be readily rotated or otherwise transposed by the graphics subsystem before being sent to the frame buffer memory. As the perspective of the viewer changes, the displayed images must be redrawn to provide the proper visual
- 10 perspective. Accordingly, graphics engines must be capable of mapping the texture onto the polygons in real-time as the position of the polygons are rotated, translated or otherwise shifted.

- Using techniques to provide correct perspective, the graphics engine maps the three dimensional coordinates (x, y, z) of the polygon to
- 15 corresponding texture coordinates (u, v). Whenever a pixel in the projection of the image is about to be plotted on the display screen, an association is formed between the vertices of the polygon and the pixels of the image while the image is being rendered. Also associated with each vertex is a perspective value, W, that defines the spatial relation of objects with in
- 20 respect to their relative distance and position as they might appear to the eye of a viewer when rendered on the display.

- In low cost graphic engines interpolation driven texture mapping and rendering processes are used to speed the rendering process and limit memory requirements. However, maintaining proper orientation of the
- 25 texture map with respect to the polygon as the perspective changes and interpolating interior (u, v) texels requires extensive computing power to prevent distortion, artifacts, wavy appearances, aliasing or other rendering errors. For images such as would be displayed in a video game with, by way of example, a road disappearing into the distance at infinity (Figure 2), the



perspective can vary from  $W=1$  for objects near the front of the screen perceived as close to the viewer to  $W=6$  or  $W=10$  (or greater) for objects receding into the distance near the back of the screen. Massive perspective (e.g.,  $W>5$ ) in real-time computer simulations often cause hardware

5 rendering engines to break down creating readily visible artifacts in the image when displayed. In one system, it has been determined that the breakdown point commonly occurs whenever a perspective ratio varies by more than about 1.5. It would be advantageous to provide a system that performs real-time simulation that avoids artifacts when processing images  
10 with massive perspective. It would be further advantageous to provide such a system that operates in conjunction with an interpolation driven texture mapping and rendering system. The present invention provides this advantageous capability

A further problem often encountered in rendering graphic images  
15 arises when two polygons share a common or co-linear edge. Depending on the manner in which the graphics subsystem interprets the image, however, the resulting object as rendered on the display will often have missing pixels or gaps along the common edge. Such gaps occur when the process employed by the graphics subsystem is not able to walk to common  
20 endpoints. Accordingly, it is desirable to draw polygons having a co-linear edge without tearing or creation of false edges or gaps. The present invention provides this advantageous capability.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

25 The present invention relates to rendering three-dimensional graphics images on a computer controlled display system and more particularly to a system and method that eliminates artifacts created when large polygons with high perspective ratios are rendered/ texture mapped. The present invention is particularly useful within a computer controlled display system

utilizing interpolation driven rendering/texture mapping processes. The system and method of the present invention performs an iterative operation that subdivides selected polygons into a plurality of smaller polygons to limit artifact creation during the interpolation driven rendering/texture mapping processes.

Specifically, the processing logic of the present invention analyzes each polygon stored in display list memory of a graphics accelerator or graphics subsystem and determines a perspective ratio between adjacent vertices of the polygon. If the perspective ratio is greater than a pre-selected limit, the edge bounded by the vertices is subdivided at the mid-point and new triangles created. The process is iterative until all polygons have perspective ratios that are less than the pre-selected limit at which time the object data can be rasterized and displayed by the hardware.

Since the subdivision is based on determining the midpoint of edges rather than vertices of the polygon, any original polygon that shares the selected edge (and there might be several) will share a common vertex because the edge will be subdivided at the same mid-point thereby limiting creation of artifacts even if the edge appears later in the data stream. Further, since the processing logic of the present invention consistently subdivides the edge each time it is encountered, objects are consistently rendered regardless of the coordinates of the vertices of the various polygons sharing the edge or having a co-incident edge.

The present invention calculates perspective values ( $w$ ) and new coordinates ( $x$ ,  $y$ ,  $z$ ) for each mid-point based on a simple average of the perspective values at the vertices bounding the edge. Texture coordinates at the mid-point of a divided edge ( $umid$ ,  $vmid$ ) are calculated by the processing logic and are interpolated across the polygon to determine the texel value of the image at each pixel within the boundary of the polygon.

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 illustrates a computer controlled graphics display system having a host processor and a graphics subsystem with the capability of rendering visual simulations on a display screen.

5           Figure 2 is an illustrative representation of a displayed image with perspective within a visual simulation.

Figure 3 is a representation of two polygons within a displayed image having perspective within a visual simulation.

10           Figures 4A and 4B illustrate a flow diagram of processing logic in accordance with the present invention that is implemented on the computer controlled display system shown in Figure 1 that divides polygons when one or more perspective ratios exceed a selected threshold before the polygons are rendered on the display system.

15           Figures 5A and Figure 5B illustrate, respectively, a perspective view of a polygon having perspective ratios exceeding the preselected limit and a perspective view of the polygon after being split in accordance with the present invention.

20           Figures 5C and Figure 5D illustrate, respectively, a perspective view of a polygon having a perspective ratio exceeding the preselected limit and a perspective view of the polygon after being split in accordance with the present invention.

25           Figure 6A and Figure 6B illustrate a flow diagram of processing logic for determining new 3-D coordinates (x, y, z), perspective terms (W), texture coordinates (u, v), and color values (R, G, B) for midpoints determined in accordance with the present invention.

Figures 7A, 7B, and 7C illustrate three possible triangle split configurations when one of the three triangle edges exceeds the perspective ratio in accordance with the present invention.

Figures 8A, 8B, and 8C illustrate three possible triangle split configurations when two of the three triangle edges exceed the perspective ratio in accordance with the present invention.

Figure 9 illustrates one triangle split configuration when all of the three triangle edges exceed the perspective ratio in accordance with the present invention.

### DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

In the following description of the preferred embodiment, reference is made to the accompanying drawings which form a part hereof, and in which is shown by way of illustration a specific embodiment in which the invention may be practiced. It is to be understood that other embodiments may be utilized and that changes may be made without departing from the scope of the present invention. For purposes of illustration the following description describes the present invention as used with a computer system equipped to display graphics in real-time. However, it is contemplated that the present invention can be used in conjunction with other digital display systems. Reference will now be made in detail to the preferred embodiments of the invention, examples of which are illustrated in the accompanying drawings. Wherever possible, the same reference numbers will be used throughout in the drawings to refer to the same or like components.

### NOTATION AND NOMENCLATURE

Some portions of the detailed descriptions which follow are presented in terms of procedures, logic blocks, processing, and other symbolic representations of operations on data bits within a computer or digital system memory. These descriptions and representations are the means used by those skilled in the data processing arts to most effectively convey the substance of their work to others skilled in the art. A procedure, logic block,

process, etc., is herein, and generally, conceived to be a self-consistent sequence of steps or instructions leading to a desired result. The steps are those requiring physical manipulations of physical quantities. Usually, though not necessarily, these physical manipulations take the form of

5 electrical or magnetic signals capable of being stored, transferred, combined, compared, and otherwise manipulated in a computer system. For reasons of convenience, and with reference to common usage, these signals are referred to as bits, values, elements, symbols, characters, terms, numbers, or the like with reference to the present invention.

10 It should be borne in mind, however, that all of these terms are to be interpreted as referencing physical manipulations and quantities and are merely convenient labels and are to be interpreted further in view of terms commonly used in the art. Unless specifically stated otherwise as apparent from the following discussions, it is understood that throughout discussions of

15 the present invention, discussions utilizing terms such as "processing" or "computing" or "calculating" or "determining" or "displaying" or the like, refer to the action and processes of a computer system, or similar electronic computing device, that manipulates and transforms data. The data is represented as physical (electronic) quantities within the computer system's

20 registers and memories and is transformed into other data similarly represented as physical quantities within the computer system memories or registers or other such information storage, transmission or display devices.

#### COMPUTER SYSTEM PLATFORM

25 Refer to Figure 1 which illustrates a computer controlled display system ("computer system") 112. Within the following discussions of the present invention, certain processes (e.g., processes 400, 485, and 610) are discussed that are realized, in one implementation, as a series of instructions (e.g., software program) that reside within computer readable memory units of

system 112 and executed by processors of system 112. When executed, the instructions cause the computer system 112 to perform specific actions and exhibit specific behavior which is described in detail to follow.

In general, computer system 112 used by the present invention

5 comprises an address/data bus 100 for communicating information, one or more central processors 101 coupled with the bus 100 for processing information and instructions, a computer readable volatile memory unit 102 (e.g., random access memory, static RAM, dynamic, RAM, etc.) coupled with the bus 100 for storing information and instructions for the central

10 processor(s) 101, a computer readable non-volatile memory unit (e.g., read only memory, programmable ROM, flash memory, EPROM, EEPROM, etc.) coupled with the bus 100 for storing static information and instructions for the processor(s) 101. As will be appreciated by person of ordinary skill in the art, memory 104 may contain information, such as application programs, network

15 communication programs, operating systems, data, graphics display data etc.

System 112 also includes a mass storage computer readable data storage device 104 (hard drive or floppy) such as a magnetic or optical disk and disk drive coupled with the bus 100 for storing information and instructions. Optionally, system 112 can include a display device 105 for

20 displaying information to the computer user, an optional alphanumeric input device 106 including alphanumeric and function keys coupled to the bus 100 for communicating information and command selections to the central processor(s) 101, an optional cursor control device 107 coupled to the bus for communicating user input information and command selections to the central

25 processor(s) 101, and an optional signal generating device 108 coupled to the bus 100 for communicating command selections to the processor(s) 101. In one exemplary implementation, system 112 is an x86 microprocessor based computer system, but could equally be of a number of other various

well known and commercially available platforms (e.g., DEC Alpha, Power PC, RISC based, etc.).

The host processor 101 provides data and control signals via bus 100 to a graphics subsystem 109. The graphics subsystem 109 typically

5 contains a display processor 109a which executes/processes a series of display instructions and graphics primitives found within a display list stored in local volatile memory 109b. The display processor 109a supplies data and control signals to a frame buffer (not shown) which refreshes the display device 105 for rendering images (including graphics images). Specialized  
10 and well known circuits 109c are also included in graphics subsystem 109 for implementing sound and graphics special effects and perform high speed graphics processing. Although not shown, the graphics subsystem 109 can be directly coupled to drive display device 105. Graphics subsystem 109 also typically is granted high priority access to memory 104 for processing  
15 image data prior to display.

To display a three-dimensional (3-D) object on display 105, the object is decomposed by system 112 into a plurality of graphics commands and graphic primitives including, for example, polygons (e.g., triangles), lines and points to obtain a representation of the object. In one embodiment, polygons  
20 are triangles having three vertices, but could also include geometric representations having more than three vertices (e.g., rectangles, etc.). As used herein, the term polygon and triangles are used interchangeably unless specifically otherwise noted. The vertices of the triangles are described by respective three dimensional Cartesian coordinates (x, y, z).  
25 The vertices are stored in either memory 104 or in the local memory 109b of graphics subsystem 112 and define the object's shape and position.

System 112 applies texture maps to the displayed surfaces of the graphics triangles to provide surface shading, volume rendering or to otherwise present a realistic appearance of the object. A texture is image

data ("texels") that are mapped or matched to the pixels of the polygons of the graphics primitives so that the displayed object has the appearance of realism. Graphic subsystem 109 maps texture by matching texture coordinates (u, v) with a corresponding vertex of the polygon and then

5 rendering the image on the display screen. In one embodiment, texture inside the polygon is determined by interpolation within subsystem 109; one process of which is described in more detail in copending patent application entitled Non-Homogenous Second Order Perspective Texture Mapping Using Linear Interpolation Parameters, by Gautam P. Vaswani et al., filed on  
10 December 30, 1996, serial number \_\_\_\_\_, and assigned to the assignee of the present invention. In general, the basic steps of the texture mapping process are to: assign texture coordinates to the vertices of each polygon to define a mapping function from texture coordinate space to the display coordinates in display space; interpolate to determine corresponding  
15 texture coordinates for each pixel coordinate within the polygon based on the texture coordinates assigned to the polygon's vertices and based on certain parameters computed for the polygon; and assign textual values to each pixel inside the polygon during the rendering process based on the determined texel coordinates.

20

#### GENERAL OPERATION OF PRESENT INVENTION

Referring now to Figure 2, the present invention is illustrated by way of example using an airport runway 202 as the object to be rendered on display 105. Runway 202 is shown composed of two polygons, one polygon  
25 having vertices A, B, D and the other polygon having vertices B, D, C. Texture mapping provides the appearance of asphalt or concrete to runway 202 and applies a centerline 204, and end-of-runway stripes 206. The position of each vertex on the display screen is determined based on a viewing angle and based on the set of Cartesian coordinates (x, y, z) for



each vertex (A, B, C, D). Also associated with each of the vertices are well known perspective terms,  $W_A$ ,  $W_B$ ,  $W_C$  and  $W_D$ , respectively for vertex A, B, C, and D. In general, when  $W_A = W_B = W_C = W_D = 1$ , the polygon lies in the XY-plane with  $z = 0$  for each vertex and when  $W_A = W_B = W_C = W_D$  the

5 corresponding vertices lie in a single XY-plane with a common Z coordinate for each vertex.

To maintain visual perspective as runway 202 is rotated with respect to a common viewing angle, the perspective terms ( $W$ ) of the vertices change depending on the point of view of the observer. The perspective can vary

10 from  $W=1$  for objects near the front of the screen 105 which are perceived as close to the viewer, to  $W=6$  or  $W=10$  for objects receding into the distance near the back of the screen. Such large perspective (e.g.,  $W>6$ ) in real-time computer simulations often cause hardware rendering engines to break down creating readily visible artifacts. This is particularly true when linear

15 interpolation rendering/texture mapping processes of subsystem 109 are used which perform estimations to determine the proper texture map values. It has been determined that with respect to the above cited linear interpolation process, the breakdown point begins to occur when the ratio of the perspective terms amount to 1.5 or more for any given triangle edge (an

20 edge having a vertex pair from which the ration is determined).

Therefore, the present invention determines if the amount of perspective in a displayed image will create visible artifacts or distortion during the rendering process, and if so, the triangle is divided, and subdivided if need be, until it is determined that visible artifacts are removed.

25 The operation of the present invention is first described generally, and then described in detail with respect to Figure 4A and Figure 4B.

Simultaneously with, or prior to, building a display list in local memory 109b, the present invention calculates and compares perspective ratios of each triangle to be displayed. Specifically, the perspective ratios of each

vertex pair for each triangle edge are calculated. For instance, the ratios:  $WA/WB$ ,  $WB/WA$ ,  $WB/WD$ ,  $WD/WB$ ,  $WD/WA$ ,  $WA/WD$  are calculated for triangle ABD of Figure 2 and  $WB/WC$ ,  $WC/WB$ ,  $WB/WD$ ,  $WD/WB$ ,  $WD/WC$ ,  $WC/WD$  are calculated for triangle BDC of Figure 2. If any of the above

- 5 perspective ratios exceed a selected ratio threshold, the edge between the selected vertices is split (thus forming new triangles) by system 112 to prevent the creation of artifacts during the rendering process.

In accordance with the present invention, processing logic resident in either memory 104 (Figure 1) or the local memory 109b of graphics  
10 subsystem 109 (or memory 103), compares each ratio to the given threshold and, in the event one or more edge pairs violate the threshold, subdivides the edge between the vertices corresponding to the perspective ratio and finds the mid-point of the edge. Splitting edges causes new triangles to be formed. If only one edge is split, two triangles result from one original  
15 triangle. If two edges need to be split in the original triangle, then three triangles result. If all three edges need to be split in the original triangle, then four triangles result. Edges are split via their mid-point. The midpoint is determined, in one preferred embodiment, by adding together the corresponding coordinates of the vertices of the edge using fixed point math  
20 and shifting the sum to affect a divide by two operation and a new vertex is assigned to the mid-point.

As described above, depending on the number of edges split, new triangles are created by connecting vertices and/or midpoints of the original triangle. The processing logic of the present invention then calculates new  
25 coordinates (x, y, z), new perspective terms (W), new texture coordinate values (u, v), and new color values (R, G, B), Red, Green, Blue, for any midpoints created. In one embodiment, a perspective term,  $W_{Mid}$ , is computed using a simple average of the perspective values at each of the vertices bounding the edge segment that has been divided. Accordingly, the

perspective term, WMid, is assigned to the mid-point vertex and stored in memory 104 or memory 109b. It is appreciated that if any edge of the newly created triangles violates the threshold perspective ratio, that violating triangle is similarly split as described above, and so forth until all triangles of a particular display meet the perspective threshold.

In Figure 3, exemplary runway 202 is illustrated superimposed on the 3-D coordinate system (x, y, z) without texture data. As shown, the runway polygon can be represented by two triangles ABD and BCD having a common edge BD. Advantageously, if only one edge violates the threshold perspective ratio, the processing logic of the present invention only subdivides triangles sharing that edge. However, if  $WD = 10$  and  $WA = WB = 1$ , edges AB and BD will be divided in accordance with the below described process. After the processing logic has created a plurality of triangles each having perspective ratios of, in the preferred embodiment, between 1.25 and 1.5 (e.g., 1.45), or less, the resultant triangles are stored into a display list within local memory 109b to be further processed by graphics subsystem 109 and rendered on display 105.

Since divisions in accordance with the present invention are based on the edge rather than one or more vertices of the triangle, any original triangle that shares the selected edge, (and there might be several), will share the common mid-point vertex because the edge will be broken in the exact same manner thereby limiting artifacts even if you see the edge again with respect to another triangle much later in the display data stream. Since the process of the present invention consistently breaks up the edge each time it is encountered, the effect is to consistently rendering of the edge regardless of the coordinates of the vertices of the various triangles sharing the edge.

## FLOWCHART OPERATION OF PRESENT INVENTION

Figure 4A and Figure 4B illustrate a flow diagram of steps of process 400 executed by the present invention. It is appreciated that the processing logic 400 can be embedded in a pre-processor (e.g., hardcoded in memory 103 or another non-volatile memory unit of subsystem 109), performed by the host processor 101, or alternatively implemented as an application software module performed by graphics subsystem 109. In any event, process 400 is realized as software or instruction code stored in computer readable memory units of system 112. Processing logic 400 begins execution at an initialization step 410 (Figure 4A) which includes selecting or receiving a maximum perspective ratio ("perspective threshold"),  $W\_Ratio\_Threshold$ , which in the preferred embodiment is 1.45 but can be varied. It is appreciated that the maximum perspective ratio, in one embodiment, is determined based on a user selected value from a performance/quality knob which is a user selectable knob that trades off speed of performance (e.g., rendering) versus image quality; in this embodiment the maximum perspective ratio can and is expected to change depending on user performance/quality determinations.

At step 415, a polygon 310 (Figure 5A) is selected (e.g., the selected polygon) from the display data list stored in local memory 109b of graphics subsystem 109. Alternatively, polygon 310 can be supplied from host processor 101 over bus 100. Polygon 310, as shown in Figure 5A, includes vertices ABD. At step 420, the coordinates (x, y, z) of the vertices of the polygon of Figure 5A are retrieved, the perspective terms  $W_A$ ,  $W_B$ , and  $W_D$  for vertices A, B, and D, respectively, are retrieved, and the RGB values and the texture coordinates (u, v) for each vertex of polygon 310 are retrieved.

Processing step 430 of Figure 4A then calculates perspective ratios between each of the three edges of polygon ABD. Specifically, with respect to triangle ABD, perspective ratios are calculated for vertices pairs (edges): A

and B; B and D; and A and D. The following perspective ratios are computed for each selected polygon at step 430:

5            Perspective Ratio1 =  $WA/WB$   
             Perspective Ratio2 =  $WB/WA$   
             Perspective Ratio3 =  $WB/WD$   
             Perspective Ratio4 =  $WD/WB$   
             Perspective Ratio5 =  $WA/WD$   
             Perspective Ratio6 =  $WD/WA$

10

At step 440 of Figure 4A, it is determined whether or not the computed perspective ratios of at least three different edges violate (e.g., exceed) the maximum perspective threshold amount,  $W\_Ratio\_Threshold$ . If so, step 480 (Figure 4B) is entered. If not, then at step 450, it is determined whether or not the computed perspective ratios of two different edges violate (e.g., exceed) the maximum perspective threshold amount,  $W\_Ratio\_Threshold$ , and that three different edges do not violate. If so, step 475 (Figure 4B) is entered. If not, then at step 460, it is determined whether or not the computed perspective ratios of one edge violates (e.g., exceed) the maximum perspective threshold amount,  $W\_Ratio\_Threshold$ , and that two nor three edges violate. If so, step 470 (Figure 4B) is entered. If not, then step 500 (Figure 4B) is entered.

At step 440 of Figure 4A, if three different edges of polygon 310 violate, then processing flows to step 480 of Figure 4B where, as shown in Figure 9, midpoints 12, 20 and 30 are determined and the selected polygon ABD 310 is divided with four new triangles 72, 74, 76, and 78 being generated. Triangle 72 is made up of vertex A, midpoint 12 and midpoint 30; triangle 74 is made up of vertex D, midpoint 20 and midpoint 30; triangle 76 is made up of vertex B, midpoint 20 and midpoint 12; and triangle 78 is made up of midpoints 12, 20 and 30. Then step 485 is entered.

In one particular embodiment of the present invention, the above perspective ratios can be computed by step 430 and then can be compared by step 440 (e.g., for

triangle ABD) without using a divide operation according to the pseudo code format below:

```
vertex1.w = WA
5 vertex2.w = WB
  vertex3.w = WD
  max_w_ratio = W_Ratio_Threshold
  w1_times_max = vertex1.w * max_w_ratio
  w2_times_max = vertex2.w * max_w_ratio
10 w3_times_max = vertex3.w * max_w_ratio
  if ( (vertex1.w < w2_times_max) AND (vertex1.w < w3_times_max) AND
      (vertex2.w < w1_times_max) AND (vertex2.w < w3_times_max) AND
      (vertex3.w < w1_times_max) AND (vertex3.w < w2_times_max) )
  then no perspective violation
15 else perspective violation
```

At step 450 of Figure 4A, if two different edges of the selected polygon 310 violate, and three edges do not, then processing flows to step 475 of Figure 4B where the present invention divides the selected polygon 310 into three triangles, depending on which two edges violate. As shown in Figure 8A, if the edge between vertex A and vertex B violates and the edge between vertex B and vertex D violates, then at step 475, polygon 310 is divided into triangles 42, 44, and 46, with triangle 42 made up of vertex B, midpoint 12 and midpoint 20; triangle 44 made up of vertices A and D and midpoint 20; and triangle 46 made up of midpoints 12, 20 and vertex A. As shown in Figure 8B, if the edge between vertex B and vertex D violates and the edge between vertex D and vertex A violates, then at step 475, polygon 310 is divided into triangles 52, 54, and 56, with triangle 52 made up of vertices A, B, and midpoint 30; triangle 54 made up of midpoints 20, 30 and vertex B; and triangle 56 made up of midpoints 20, 30 and vertex D. As shown in Figure 8C, if the edge between vertex D and vertex A violates and the edge between vertex A and vertex B violates, then at step 475, polygon 310 is divided into triangles 62, 64, and 66, with triangle 62 made up of midpoints 12, 30 and vertex A; triangle 64 made up of midpoints 12, 30 and

vertex B; and triangle 66 made up of vertices B, D and midpoint 30. Then step 485 is entered.

At step 450 of Figure 4A, if one different edge violates and three edges and two edges do not, then processing flows to step 470 of Figure 4B

5 where the present invention divides the selected polygon 310 into two triangles, depending on which edge violates. As shown in Figure 7A, if the edge between vertex A and vertex B violates, then at step 470, polygon 310 is divided into triangles 14 and 18, with triangle 14 made up of vertices A, D and midpoint 12; and triangle 18 made up of vertices B, D and midpoint 12.

10 As shown in Figure 7B, if the edge between vertex B and vertex D violates, then at step 470, polygon 310 is divided into triangles 22 and 24, with triangle 22 made up of vertices A, D and midpoint 20; and triangle 24 made up of vertices A, B and midpoint 20. As shown in Figure 7C, if the edge between vertex A and vertex D violates, then at step 470, polygon 310 is

15 divided into triangles 32 and 34, with triangle 32 made up of vertices B, D and midpoint 30; and triangle 34 made up of vertices A, B and midpoint 30. Then step 485 is entered.

At step 485 of Figure 4B, the three dimensional coordinates (x, y, z), the colors (R, G, B), the texture coordinates (u, v) and the perspective term, (W, are computed for any midpoints (e.g., 12, 20, and 30) created by steps

20 470, 475, and 480. The manner in which these computations are performed is described in further detail with respect to Figure 6A and Figure 6B.

At step 490 of Figure 4B, the selected polygon 310 is replaced by all new triangles generated by steps 470, 475 and 480. In particular, the

25 selected polygon 310 (within the display list) is replaced by all newly generated triangles of steps 470, 475 and 480 with the newly generated triangles marked as "unselected" as stored in the display list. The new triangles are marked as unselected so that they can each be separately sent through process 400 and tested for perspective violations themselves. At

step 495, a pointer is updated so that a new unselected polygon is selected as the selected polygon 310. Processing then returns to step 414 of Figure 4A.

At step 500 of Figure 4B, system 112 checks if the selected polygon 310 is the last polygon of the display list and there are no more "unselected" polygons in the display list. If so, process 400 completes and all triangles in the display list now satisfy the maximum perspective threshold. If not, then step 495 is entered to select a new polygon for processing. Upon each polygon being processed, the display list in 109b is then rendered for display. In one embodiment of the present invention, the interpolation driven rendering/texture map process cited above is used to perform texture mapping and image rendering onto display 105 (Figure 1).

It is appreciated that process 400 of Figure 4A and Figure 4B is described with respect to a system that checks all polygons in the display list before rendering. However, process 400 is equally well suited for application within system that processes polygons 310 iteratively for display. For example, a system that decomposes a single polygon (from the display list) into its sub-triangles, stores the subtriangles into memory, divides any subtriangles if needed, then when all subtriangles meet the perspective threshold, renders all sub-triangles on display 105 and then accesses the next polygon of the display list.

#### EXAMPLES:

As an example of the operation of process 400, Figure 5A illustrates perspective terms arbitrarily assigned for each vertex of polygon 310, with  $WA=1.0$ ,  $WB=1.0$  and  $WD=1.8$ . In ratio determining step 430, the ratio  $WD/WB$  is determined to be 1.8 (exceeding threshold of 1.45 as set by  $W\_Ratio\_Threshold$ ) and this edge (BD) is determined to exceed the threshold. Further, the ratio  $WD/WA$  is also determined to be 1.8, so edge



(DA) also exceeds the threshold. Accordingly, two edges violate, so step 450 responds in the affirmative. Polygon 310 is then split in accordance with Figure 8B into triangles 52, 54, and 56 as shown in Figure 5B. The newly generated triangles 52, 54, and 56 will be resubmitted to process 400 to  
5 determine whether the new perspective ratios exceed the limit set by  $W\_Ratio\_Threshold$  in accordance with the present invention.

As another example, Figure 5C illustrates that perspective terms for each vertex have been arbitrarily assigned to polygon 310 with  $WA=1.4$ ,  $WB=1.0$  and  $WD=1.8$ . In ratio determining step 430, the ratio  $WD/WB$  is  
10 determined to be 1.8 (exceeding threshold of 1.45 as set by  $W\_Ratio\_Threshold$ ) and this edge is determined to exceed the threshold. However, the other edges do not violate the perspective threshold. Accordingly, one edge violates, so step 460 responds in the affirmative. Polygon 310 is then split in accordance with Figure 7B into triangles 22, and  
15 24 as shown in Figure 5D. The newly generated triangles 22 and 24 will be resubmitted to process 400 to determine whether the new perspective ratios exceed the limit set by  $W\_Ratio\_Threshold$ .

Once all perspective ratios of all edges of all polygons of the display list are less than the selected perspective threshold, the procedure of Figure  
20 4 is terminated and the graphics data may be rendered to the display screen 105 without noticeable artifacts. To avoid creating tearing or creation of artifacts when two triangles, such as triangle ABD and BCD, share a common co-linear edge (such as, for example, edge BD), the present invention consistently splits edges in the same location. It is an advantage of  
25 the present invention that inserted vertices will always be at the identical coordinate on common edges regardless of whether triangle ABD or BCD is processed for display and without regard to relative positioning of the triangles in the display stream. Accordingly, the object is rendered without missing pixels or gaps along common or co-linear edges.

For image rendition requiring high image quality, the W\_Ratio\_Threshold can be selected so that each triangle is divided into a higher number of triangles in order to achieve the desired image quality. For example, W\_Ratio\_Threshold may be selected from the range of 1.2 to a maximum value where such maximum value is a function of graphics subsystem 109. Alternatively, if high image quality is not required or desired due to memory or speed constraints, the variable, W\_Ratio\_Threshold, may be selected from the range of 1.5 to about 3 or 5. The actual range may be selected based on the type of images being rendered and the viewer's balance of performance over quality.

#### STEP 485 OF PROCESS 400

As discussed above, at step 485 of Figure 4B, the three dimensional coordinates (x, y, z), the colors (R, G, B), the texture coordinates (u, v) and the perspective value, W, are computed for all midpoints 12, 20, and 30. Figures 6A and Figure 6A illustrate a flow chart of the steps performed by process 485 used to determine these values in accordance with the present invention. At step 610 of Figure 6A, the new values for (R, G, B), (x, y, z) and W are computed for each new midpoint generated by process 400. The following relationships are used assuming an edge comprising vertex A and vertex B is divided and assuming further vertex A has coordinates (xA, yA, zA) and vertex B has coordinates (xB, yB, zB). Further, vertex A has perspective term, WA, and colors (RA, GA and BA) and vertex B has perspective term, WB, and colors (RB, GB and BB). Step 600 is performed using the following relationships:

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\text{midpoint}} &= (WA + WB) / 2 \\ R_{\text{midpoint}} &= (RA + RB) / 2 \\ G_{\text{midpoint}} &= (GA + GB) / 2 \\ B_{\text{midpoint}} &= (BA + BB) / 2 \\ x_{\text{midpoint}} &= (xA + xB) / 2 \\ y_{\text{midpoint}} &= (yA + yB) / 2 \end{aligned}$$

$$z_{\text{midpoint}} = (z_A + z_B) / 2$$

where ( $x_{\text{midpoint}}$ ,  $y_{\text{midpoint}}$ ,  $z_{\text{midpoint}}$ ) are the ( $x$ ,  $y$ ,  $z$ ) coordinates of the new midpoint of the divided edge ( $AB$ ), ( $R_{\text{midpoint}}$ ,  $G_{\text{midpoint}}$ ,  $B_{\text{midpoint}}$ ) are the ( $R$ ,  $G$ ,  $B$ ) color values of the new midpoint, and  $W_{\text{midpoint}}$  is the perspective term of the new midpoint of the divided edge.

Values for texture coordinates ( $u$ ,  $v$ ) at the midpoint of the divided edge, that is ( $u_{\text{mid}}$ ,  $v_{\text{mid}}$ ), are calculated by step 610 of Figure 6A which is described in more detail in the processing logic shown in Figure 6B. At processing step 615 the texture coordinates, ( $u_A$ ,  $v_A$ ) and ( $u_B$ ,  $v_B$ ), and perspective terms,  $W_A$  and  $W_B$ , for each vertex (e.g., vertex A and vertex B) bounding a divided edge are obtained. At process step 620, intermediate variables,  $a$  and  $b$ , are calculated according to the following relationships:

$$a = u_A * W_A$$

where  $u_A$  denotes the  $u$ -axis texture coordinate at vertex A while  $W_A$  denotes the perspective value at vertex A;  
and

$$b = u_B * W_B$$

where  $u_B$  denotes the  $u$ -axis texture coordinate at vertex B while  $W_B$  denotes the perspective value at vertex B. Intermediate variable,  $c$ , is then calculated at process step 625 of Figure 6B according to the relationship below:

$$c = (a + b) / 2$$

and  $u_{\text{mid}}$  is then calculated at process step 630 according to:

$$u_{mid} = c / W_{mid}$$

As shown in processing steps 635-650, a value for  $v_{mid}$  is calculated  
5 in accordance with the process logic in steps 620-630 by replacing u-axis  
texture coordinates,  $u_A$  and  $u_B$ , with the corresponding v-axis texture  
coordinates,  $v_A$  and  $v_B$  for vertices A and B. At the completion of step 650,  
the texture coordinates ( $u_{mid}$ ,  $v_{mid}$ ) are computed. With the newly  
determined texture coordinates, texture data can be interpolated for pixels  
10 within each triangle based on the (u, v) coordinates assigned to the vertices  
of the triangle.

In one embodiment, the present invention does not require  
substantial, if any, modification to be made to hardware graphics subsystems  
previously installed in computer system 112. Although described with  
15 respect to an interpolation driven texture mapping/rendering system, the  
present invention polygon split procedure can operate in conjunction with  
any hardware subsystem that performs 3-D texture mapping operations.

While certain exemplary preferred embodiments have been described  
and shown in the accompanying drawings, it is to be understood that such  
20 embodiments are merely illustrative of and not restrictive on the broad  
invention. Further, it is to be understood that this invention shall not be  
limited to the specific construction and arrangements shown and described  
since various modifications or changes may occur to those of ordinary skill in  
the art without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention as  
25 claimed.

## CLAIMS

What is claimed is:

- 5           1.       In a computer controlled graphics display system wherein objects are represented by data structures defining: the orientation and location of a plurality of polygons; and texture data defining surface characteristics of said object, said data structures at least partially stored in computer memory prior to rendering on a display screen, a method for
- 10       subdividing polygons having a high degree of perspective, said method comprising the steps of:
- (a) selecting, from said computer memory, a selected polygon from said plurality of polygons that at least partially define a depiction of said object, said selected polygon comprising at least three vertices wherein
- 15       each vertex has a perspective term,  $W$ , associated therewith that defines a display perspective of said associated vertex with respect to a given viewing angle;
- (b) determining perspective ratios for each pair of adjacent vertices of said at least three vertices of said selected polygon;
- 20       (c) subdividing said selected polygon to generate a plurality of new polygons provided any of said perspective ratios exceeds a preselected perspective threshold amount; and
- (d) rendering and displaying said selected polygon on a display screen of said computer controlled graphics display system provided none of
- 25       said perspective ratios exceeds said preselected perspective threshold amount.

2. A method as described in Claim 1 further comprising the step of: (e) repeating said steps of (a)-(c) for each new polygon generated by said step (c).

5 3. A method as described in Claim 1 wherein said step (c) comprises the steps of:

(c1) dividing said selected polygon into four new polygons provided three edges of said selected polygon have perspective ratios exceeding said preselected threshold amount;

10 (c2) dividing said selected polygon into three new polygons provided only two edges of said selected polygon have perspective ratios exceeding said preselected threshold amount; and

(c3) dividing said selected polygon into two new polygons provided only one edge of said selected polygon has a perspective ratio exceeding  
15 said preselected threshold amount.

4. A method as described in Claim 3 wherein said step (c1) comprises the step of inserting three mid-points between adjacent vertex pairs of said selected polygon to constitute new vertices for said four new  
20 polygons, wherein said step (c2) comprises the step of inserting two mid-points between adjacent vertex pairs of said selected polygon to constitute new vertices for said three new polygons, and wherein said step (c3) comprises the step of inserting one mid-point between an adjacent vertex pair of said selected polygon to constitute a new vertex for said two new  
25 polygons.

5. A method as described in Claim 4 further comprising the step of determining data for each inserted midpoint resultant from said steps (c1), (c2), and (c3), said data comprising: three dimensional coordinate values (x,

y, z); texture map coordinate values (u, v); color (R, G, B); and perspective terms (W).

6. A method as described in Claim 5 wherein said step of  
5 determining data for each inserted midpoint comprises the steps of:

(1) calculating a perspective term,  $W_{mid}$ , for a midpoint of a given adjacent pair of vertices, A and B, in accordance with:

$$W_{mid} = (W_A + W_B) / 2$$

where  $W_A$  and  $W_B$  denote perspective terms for said vertices A and B,  
10 respectively;

(2) calculating intermediate variables, a and a', in accordance with:

$$a = u_A * W_A$$

$$a' = v_A * W_A$$

where  $u_A$  and  $v_A$  respectively denote the u-axis and v-axis texture  
15 coordinates for said vertex A;

(3) calculating intermediate variables, b and b', in accordance with:

$$b = u_B * W_B$$

$$b' = v_B * W_B$$

where  $u_B$  and  $v_B$  respectively denote the u-axis and v-axis texture  
20 coordinates for said vertex B;

(4) calculating intermediate variables, c and c', in accordance with:

$$c = (a + b) / 2$$

$$c' = (a' + b') / 2; \text{ and}$$

(5) calculating  $u_{mid}$  and  $v_{mid}$  in accordance with:

25  $u_{mid} = c / W_{mid}$

$$v_{mid} = c' / W_{mid}$$

where  $u_{mid}$  and  $v_{mid}$  are the texture coordinates for said midpoint.

7. A method as described in Claim 1 wherein for a given pair of adjacent vertices, A and B, said step (b) comprises the steps of:

(b1) dividing a perspective term associated with vertex A with a perspective term associated with adjacent vertex B to obtain a first

5 perspective ratio associated with said given pair of adjacent vertices; and

(b2) dividing said perspective term associated with vertex B with said perspective term associated with adjacent vertex A to obtain a second perspective ratio associated with said given pair of adjacent vertices.

10 8. A method as described in Claim 1 wherein said preselected threshold is between the range of 1.25 to 1.5.

9. A method as described in Claim 1 further comprising the step of receiving said preselected threshold amount from a user defined adjustment.

15

10. In a computer controlled graphics display system having a processor coupled to bus, and a graphics subsystem coupled to said bus, and wherein objects are represented by a plurality of polygons and texture data defining surface characteristics of object, a computer readable memory unit coupled to said bus and storing instructions therein that when executed causing said system to implement a method for subdividing polygons having a high degree of perspective, said method comprising the steps of:

20

(a) selecting, from said computer memory, a selected polygon from said plurality of polygons that at least partially define a depiction of said object, said selected polygon comprising at least three vertices wherein each vertex has a perspective term,  $W$ , associated therewith that defines a display perspective of said associated vertex with respect to a given viewing angle;

25



(b) determining perspective ratios for each pair of adjacent vertices of said at least three vertices of said selected polygon;

(c) subdividing said selected polygon to generate a plurality of new polygons provided any of said perspective ratios exceeds a preselected  
5 perspective threshold amount; and

(d) rendering and displaying said selected polygon on a display screen of said computer controlled graphics display system provided none of said perspective ratios exceeds said preselected perspective threshold amount

10 (e) repeating said steps (a)-(d) for each polygon of said plurality of polygons.

11. A computer readable memory unit as described in Claim 10 wherein said method further comprises the step of: (f) repeating said steps of  
15 (a)-(c) for each new polygon generated by said step (c).

12. A computer readable memory unit as described in Claim 10 wherein said step (c) comprises the steps of:

(c1) dividing said selected polygon into four new polygons provided  
20 three edges of said selected polygon have perspective ratios exceeding said preselected threshold amount;

(c2) dividing said selected polygon into three new polygons provided only two edges of said selected polygon have perspective ratios exceeding said preselected threshold amount; and

25 (c3) dividing said selected polygon into two new polygons provided only one edge of said selected polygon has a perspective ratio exceeding said preselected threshold amount.

13. A computer readable memory unit of Claim 12 wherein said step (c1) comprises the step of inserting three mid-points between adjacent vertex pairs of said selected polygon to constitute new vertices for said four new polygons, wherein said step (c2) comprises the step of inserting two  
5 mid-points between adjacent vertex pairs of said selected polygon to constitute new vertices for said three new polygons, and wherein said step (c3) comprises the step of inserting one mid-point between one adjacent vertex pair of said selected polygon to constitute a new vertex for said two new polygons.

10

14. A computer readable memory unit as described in Claim 13 wherein said method further comprises the step of determining data for each inserted midpoint as a result of said steps (c1), (c2), and (c3), said data comprising: three dimensional coordinate values (x, y, z); texture map  
15 coordinate values (u, v); color (R, G, B); and perspective terms (W).

15. A computer readable memory unit as described in Claim 14 wherein said step of determining data for each inserted midpoint comprises the steps of:

20 (1) calculating a perspective term,  $W_{mid}$ , for a midpoint of a given adjacent pair of vertices, A and B, in accordance with:

$$W_{mid} = (W_A + W_B) / 2$$

where  $W_A$  and  $W_B$  denote perspective terms for said vertices A and B, respectively;

25 (2) calculating intermediate variables, a and a', in accordance with:

$$a = u_A * W_A$$

$$a' = v_A * W_A$$

where  $u_A$  and  $v_A$  respectively denote the u-axis and v-axis texture coordinates for said vertex A;

(3) calculating intermediate variables,  $b$  and  $b'$ , in accordance with:

$$b = u_B * W_B$$

$$b' = v_B * W_B$$

where  $u_B$  and  $v_B$  respectively denote the u-axis and v-axis texture

5 coordinates for said vertex B;

(4) calculating intermediate variables,  $c$  and  $c'$ , in accordance with:

$$c = (a + b) / 2$$

$$c' = (a' + b') / 2; \text{ and}$$

(5) calculating  $u_{mid}$  and  $v_{mid}$  in accordance with:

10  $u_{mid} = c / W_{mid}$

$$v_{mid} = c' / W_{mid}$$

where  $u_{mid}$  and  $v_{mid}$  are the texture coordinates for said midpoint.

16. A computer readable memory unit as described in Claim 10  
15 wherein for a given pair of adjacent vertices, A and B, said step (b) comprises the steps of:

(b1) dividing a perspective term associated with vertex A with a perspective term associated with adjacent vertex B to obtain a first perspective ratio associated with said given pair of adjacent vertices; and

20 (b2) dividing said perspective term associated with vertex B with said perspective term associated with adjacent vertex A to obtain a second perspective ratio associated with said given pair of adjacent vertices.

17. In a computer controlled graphics display system wherein  
25 objects are represented by data structures defining a plurality of polygons and texture data defining surface characteristics of said object, a method for subdividing polygons having a high degree of perspective, said method comprising the steps of:

(a) selecting, from said computer memory, a selected polygon from said plurality of polygons, said selected polygon comprising at least three vertices wherein each vertex has a perspective term,  $W$ , associated therewith that defines a display perspective of said associated vertex with respect to a given viewing angle;

(b) determining perspective ratios for each pair of adjacent vertices of said at least vertices of said selected polygon;

(c) subdividing said selected polygon to generate a plurality of new polygons provided any of said perspective ratios exceeds a preselected perspective threshold amount, wherein said step (c) comprises the steps of:

(c1) dividing said selected polygon into four new polygons provided three edges of said selected polygon have perspective ratios exceeding said preselected threshold amount;

(c2) dividing said selected polygon into three new polygons provided only two edges of said selected polygon have perspective ratios exceeding said preselected threshold amount; and

(c3) dividing said selected polygon into two new polygons provided only one edge of said selected polygon has a perspective ratio exceeding said preselected threshold amount; and

(d) rendering and displaying said selected polygon on a display screen of said computer controlled graphics display system provided none of said perspective ratios exceeds said preselected perspective threshold amount.

18. A method as described in Claim 17 further comprising the steps of:

(e) repeating said steps (a)-(d) for each polygon of said plurality of polygons; and

(f) repeating said steps of (a)-(c) for each new polygon generated by said step (c).

19. A method as described in Claim 17 wherein said step (c1)  
5 comprises the step of inserting three mid-points between adjacent vertex pairs of said selected polygon to constitute new vertices for said four new polygons, wherein said step (c2) comprises the step of inserting two mid-points between adjacent vertex pairs of said selected polygon to constitute new vertices for said three new polygons, and wherein said step (c3)  
10 comprises the step of inserting one mid-point between one adjacent vertex pair of said selected polygon to constitute a new vertex for said two new polygons.

20. A method as described in Claim 17 wherein for a given pair of  
15 adjacent vertices, A and B, said step (b) comprises the steps of:

(b1) dividing a perspective term associated with vertex A with a perspective term associated with adjacent vertex B to obtain a first perspective ratio associated with said given pair of adjacent vertices; and

(b2) dividing said perspective term associated with vertex B with said  
20 perspective term associated with adjacent vertex A to obtain a second perspective ratio associated with said given pair of adjacent vertices.



# METHOD FOR TRIANGLE SUBDIVISION IN COMPUTER GRAPHICS TEXTURE MAPPING TO ELIMINATE ARTIFACTS IN HIGH PERSPECTIVE POLYGONS

5 ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

The system and method of the present invention performs an iterative operation that subdivides selected polygons (e.g., triangles) having high perspective ratios into a plurality of smaller polygons to limit artifact creation during the rendering/texture map processes. The present invention is particularly well suited for interpolation driven rendering/texture map processes. Processing logic of the present invention analyzes each polygon stored in display list memory of a graphics accelerator or graphics subsystem and determines a perspective ratio between adjacent vertices of the polygon. If the perspective ratio is greater than a pre-selected limit, the edge bounded by the vertices is subdivided at the mid-point and new polygons are created. The process is iterative until all polygons have perspective ratios that are less than the pre-selected limit, at which time the object data can be displayed by the hardware. Perspective values (w) and three dimensional coordinates (x, y, z) for each created mid-point are calculated by averaging the perspective values at the vertices bounding the edge. Texture coordinates at the mid-point of a divided edge (umid, vmid) are calculated and then interpolated across the resulting polygon to determine the texels values of the image at each pixel within the boundary of the polygon. The present invention eliminates artifact creation when used with interpolation driven rendering/texture map processes that might otherwise not properly process polygons with large perspective.




FIG. 1




Figure 2



0303450.022097  
260220"094E0860





Figure 3

400



400

20250310 09:40:30




FIG. 4B

Diagram of a quadrilateral ABCD. The vertices are labeled A, B, C, and D. The weights at the vertices are:  $W=1$  at A,  $W=1$  at B,  $W=3.4$  at C, and  $W=1.8$  at D. A handwritten '310' with an arrow points to side AD.

FIGURE 5A




FIGURE 5B




FIGURE 5C



485




FIG. 6A

610




FIGURE 6B




FIG. 7A




FIG. 7B




FIG. 7C





13-782 500 SHEETS, FILLER 5 SQUARE  
42-381 50 SHEETS EYE-EASE 5 SQUARE  
42-382 100 SHEETS EYE-EASE 5 SQUARE  
42-383 200 SHEETS EYE-EASE 5 SQUARE  
42-382 100 RECYCLED WHITE 5 SQUARE  
42-389 200 RECYCLED WHITE 5 SQUARE





FIG. 9



Attorney Reference: 0526-VDSK

Cirrus File Reference: 0526-VDSK

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY "U.S. EXPRESS MAIL" UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.10(c)

"EXPRESS MAIL" MAILING LABEL NUMBER: EM5639675404S

DATE OF DEPOSIT Feb. 20 1997

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PAPER OR FEE IS BEING DEPOSITED WITH THE UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE "EXPRESS MAIL POST OFFICE TO ADDRESSEE" SERVICE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.10 ON THE DATE INDICATED ABOVE AND IS ADDRESSED TO: ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, WASHINGTON, DC 20231.

TYPED NAME Steven A. Shaw

SIGNED [Signature]

METHOD FOR TRIANGLE SUBDIVISION  
IN COMPUTER GRAPHICS TEXTURE MAPPING  
TO ELIMINATE ARTIFACTS IN HIGH PERSPECTIVE POLYGONS

Mark Alan Einkauf  
2704 N. Walker  
Leander, Texas 78641

Citizenship: USA

20250409 09:40:00

# Declaration and Power of Attorney for a Patent Application

## Declaration

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that my residence, post office address, and citizenship are as stated below next to my name. Further, I hereby declare that I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

METHOD FOR TRIANGLE SUBDIVISION IN COMPUTER GRAPHICS TEXTURE MAPPING TO ELIMINATE ARTIFACTS IN HIGH PERSPECTIVE POLYGONS

the specification of which:

☒ is attached hereto, or  
 \_\_\_\_\_ was filed on \_\_\_\_\_ as application serial no. \_\_\_\_\_ : and  
 \_\_\_\_\_ was amended on \_\_\_\_\_

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above; and

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56(a).

## Foreign Priority Claim

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code Section 119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Number	Country	Date Filed	Priority Claimed
_____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no
_____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no

## U. S. Priority Claim

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

Serial Number	Filing Date	Status (patented / pending / abandoned)
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

**Power of Attorney**

As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s) to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

James P. Hao Registration No.: 36,398

Shirley L. Church Registration No.: 31,858

Martin J. Jaquez Registration No.: 38,060

Steven A. Shaw Registration No.: 39,368

Howard H. Sheerin Registration No.: 37,938

Frank D. Nguyen Registration No.: 39,790

Anthony C. Murabito Registration No.: 35,295

John P. Wagner Registration No.: 35,398

Send correspondence to: Mr. Steven Shaw; Ms 521  
CIRRUS LOGIC, INC., 3100 West Warren Avenue, Fremont, CA 94538

**Signatures**

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Sole or First Inventor's Signature: Mark Alan Einkauf Date: 2/14/97  
Full Name: Mark Alan Einkauf Citizenship: USA

Residence Address: 2704 North Walker, Leander, Texas 78641

Post Office Address: 2704 North Walker, Leander, Texas 78641

Second Inventor's Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Full Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Citizenship: \_\_\_\_\_

Residence Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Post Office Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Third Inventor's Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Full Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Citizenship: \_\_\_\_\_

Residence Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Post Office Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Fourth Inventor's Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Full Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Citizenship: \_\_\_\_\_

Residence Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Post Office Address: \_\_\_\_\_